	Case 1:23-cv-00163-ADA-EPG Docume	ent 48 Filed 11/03/23 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	JASON SCOTT HOUNIHAN,	Case No. 1:23-cv-00163-ADA-EPG (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION
13	V.	FOR SANCTIONS WITHOUT PREJUDICE
14	JOSE C. VILLASENOR,	(ECF No. 47)
15	Defendant.	
16		
17	Plaintiff Jason Scott Hounihan is a prisoner proceeding <i>pro se</i> and <i>in forma pauperis</i> in	
18	this civil rights action filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This case proceeds on Plaintiff's Eighth	
19	Amendment sexual assault claim against Defendant Villasenor. (ECF No. 5).	
20	In a motion dated October 29, 2029, Plaintiff states that he sent his first set of	
21	interrogatories to Defendant on September 10, 2023, and the 45-day deadline to respond has	
22	expired. (ECF No. 47). He requests an unspecified sanction.	
23	The Court will deny the motion without prejudice.	
24	As an initial matter, Plaintiff is correct that "[r]esponses to written discovery requests	
25	shall be due forty-five (45) days after the request is first served." (ECF No. 34, p. 3). However, it	
26	is not clear from Plaintiff's motion when the responses were served and if any delay is due to	
27	mail processing. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 5(b)(2)(C) (noting that service by mail is "complete upon	
28	mailing").	
		1

## Moreover, to the extent that Plaintiff seeks to compel discovery responses, his motion fails to comply with the requirements of the scheduling order: "[A motion to compel] should include a copy of the request(s) and any response to the request(s) at issue." (ECF No. 34, p. 5). Further, the Court directs Plaintiff to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 37, which generally governs motions to compel and sanctions. Should Plaintiff file a future motion to compel or sanctions, he should provide developed argument as to what specific information he seeks to compel or what sanctions he seeks and why they are appropriate. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 7(b)(1)(B) (noting that a motion must "state with particularity the grounds for seeking [an] order"). Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that Plaintiff's motion for sanctions (ECF No. 47) is denied without prejudice. If Plaintiff still has not received responses to his discovery requests, he may refile a motion for sanctions, which should include the additional requirements set forth in this order. IT IS SO ORDERED. UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE Dated: November 3, 2023

Case 1:23-cv-00163-ADA-EPG Document 48 Filed 11/03/23 Page 2 of 2